

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 KING MICHAEL TUTANKHAMAN,
7 Plaintiff,
8 v.
9 MARCUS HARVEY,
10 Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01454-RFB-NJK
ORDER
[Docket No. 1]

11 Plaintiff has requested authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed *in forma pauperis*,
12 Docket No. 1, and has submitted a complaint, Docket No. 1-1.

13 **I. Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis***

14 Plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Docket No. 1. The application
15 has sufficiently shown an inability to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly,
16 the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* will be granted pursuant to § 1915. The Court will
17 now review Plaintiff's complaint.

18 **II. Screening the Complaint**

19 When a party seeks permission to pursue a civil case *in forma pauperis*, courts will screen
20 the complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Here the entirety of the complaint consists of a blank
21 AO91 Criminal Complaint form and letter reciting various federal criminal statutes to be charged.
22 Docket No. 1-1. No other information is provided. “[A] private citizen lacks a judicially
23 cognizable interest in the prosecution or nonprosecution of another.” *Linda R.S. v. Richard D.*,
24 410 U.S. 614, 619 (1973). Although the Court liberally construes the filings of litigants proceeding
25 without an attorney, *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007), Plaintiff's complaint lacks any
26 indication of proper causes of action or supporting facts.

27 **III. Conclusion**

28 Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** as follows:

1. Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED**. At this time, Plaintiff
2 shall not be required to pre-pay the filing fee.
3. Plaintiff is permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the necessity of
4 prepayment of any additional fees or costs or the giving of a security therefor. The
5 Order granting leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* shall not extend to the issuance of
6 subpoenas at government expense.
7. The complaint is **DISMISSED** with leave to amend. Plaintiff will have until **October
8 10, 2022**, to file an Amended Complaint, if Plaintiff believes the noted deficiencies can
9 be corrected.
10. Plaintiff is **CAUTIONED** that any subsequent pleadings must comply with all
11 applicable rules and case law. Plaintiff recently had another case in this Court
12 dismissed as frivolous, *King Michael Tutankhaman v. Markus Harvey*, No. 2:22-cv-
13 01219-RFB-EJY, Docket No. 48 (D. Nev. Sep. 2, 2022), and filed another case
14 contemporaneously with this one, *King Michael Tutankhaman v. Donald John Trump*,
15 No. 2:22-cv-01455-MMD-VCF. Failure to comply with applicable rules and
16 procedures may result in various sanctions including Plaintiff's complaint being
17 dismissed and Plaintiff being declared a vexatious litigant.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: September 8, 2022.

20 
21 Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge